Uncertainty Analysis of Lead Titanate Monodomain Structures

Presenter: Paul Miles¹

Collaborators: William Oates², Lider Leon¹, Ralph Smith¹

¹Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University

²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Florida Center for Advanced Aero-Propulsion (FCAAP), Florida A&M and Florida State University

Support: ¹ NSF Grant CMMI-1306290 Collaborative Research CDS&E ² NSF Grant CMMI-1306320 Collaborative Research CDS&E

Ferroelectrics

- Applications:
- Energy harvesting
- Structural health monitoring
- Flow control
- Ultrasound



- Sonar
- Nanopositioning



Figure: Schematic of nanoposition stage¹.



Figure: Schematic of piezoelectric in sonar transducer².

Figure: Piezoelectric ceramics are mechanically deformed when in the presence of an electric field. The reverse mechanism is also true, in that an electrical response is generated if a mechanical load is applied.

Change Field Strength

Li, Jianping, et al. "Design and experimental tests of a dual-servo piezoelectric nanopositioning stage for rotary motion." *Review of Scientific Instruments* 86.4 (2015): 045002.
 <u>http://www.electronicdesign.com/power/what-piezoelectric-effect</u>

March 10, 2018

Motivation

- Density Functional Theory (DFT)
 - Computational limitations
 - Important quantum information
- Continuum modeling
 - Approximations across spatial scales increase uncertainty
- Goal: Inform continuum model parameters using DFT calculations

Density Functional Theory (DFT)

- Lead Titanate PbTiO₃
- Different atomic positions lead to different polarization states
- Uncertainty:
 - Nuclei positions and electron density (5 atoms, each with 3 degrees of freedom)
 - Approximate as a polarization vector



0

measurements for ferroelectric material¹.



Figure: Example of the electron density solutions: (Left) Reference undeformed cubic structure and (Right) shear deformed state where the unit cell has been sheared such that the deformation gradient component $F_{2,3}$ is non-zero.

1. http://encyclopedia2.thefreedictionary.com/Hysteresis+(electric)

March 10, 2018

Density Functional Theory (DFT)

- Polarization states:
 - Atoms moved based on estimates from shear deformation
 - Positive P_2 generated, P_3 reduced
 - Polarization uniform in entire domain
- Calculate energy and stress at each polarization state



Figure: Polarization rotation – starting from five different locations of nonzero P_3 and $P_2 = 0$. Atoms moved along directions estimated from shear deformation states to generate positive P_2 values while reducing P_3 . DFT computations performed by Justin Collins.

Quantities of Interest



Continuum Model

• Free energy density

 $u(P_i, \varepsilon_{ij}) = u_L(P_i) + u_M(\varepsilon_{ij}) + u_C(P_i, \varepsilon_{ij}) + u_R(\varepsilon_{ij})$

- u_L polarization energy P_i polarization in i^{th} direction
- u_M elastic energy
 - ε_{ij} strain
- u_C electrostrictive energy
- $u_{
 m R}$ residual energy

Stress:
$$\sigma_{ij} = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}\right)$$

Continuum Model

• Landau energy density

$$\begin{split} u_L(P_i) &= \alpha_1 (P_1^2 + P_2^2 + P_3^2) + \alpha_{11} (P_1^2 + P_2^2 + P_3^2)^2 \\ &+ \alpha_{12} (P_1^2 P_2^2 + P_2^2 P_3^2 + P_1^2 P_3^2) + \alpha_{111} (P_1^6 + P_2^6 + P_3^6) \\ &+ \alpha_{112} [P_1^4 (P_2^2 + P_3^2) + P_2^4 (P_1^2 + P_3^2) + P_3^4 (P_1^2 + P_2^2)] \\ &+ \alpha_{123} P_1^2 P_2^2 P_3^2 \end{split}$$

• Unknown phenomenological parameters: $\alpha_1, \alpha_{11}, \dots, \alpha_{123}$

Continuum Model

$$\begin{split} u_{M} &= \frac{c_{11}}{2} (\varepsilon_{11}^{2} + \varepsilon_{22}^{2} + \varepsilon_{33}^{2}) + c_{12} (\varepsilon_{11} \varepsilon_{22} + \varepsilon_{22} \varepsilon_{33} + \varepsilon_{11} \varepsilon_{33}) \\ &+ 2 c_{44} (\varepsilon_{12}^{2} + \varepsilon_{23}^{2} + \varepsilon_{13}^{2}) \\ u_{C} &= -q_{11} (\varepsilon_{11} P_{1}^{2} + \varepsilon_{22} P_{2}^{2} + \varepsilon_{33} P_{3}^{2}) \\ &- q_{12} [\varepsilon_{11} (P_{2}^{2} + P_{3}^{2}) + \varepsilon_{22} (P_{1}^{2} + P_{3}^{2}) + \varepsilon_{33} (P_{1}^{2} + P_{2}^{2})] \\ &- q_{44} (\varepsilon_{12} P_{1} P_{2} + \varepsilon_{13} P_{1} P_{3} + \varepsilon_{23} P_{2} P_{3}) \\ u_{R} &= \sigma_{11}^{R} \varepsilon_{11} + \sigma_{22}^{R} \varepsilon_{22} + \sigma_{33}^{R} \varepsilon_{33} + 2 (\sigma_{23}^{R} \varepsilon_{23} + \sigma_{13}^{R} \varepsilon_{13} + \sigma_{12}^{R} \varepsilon_{12}) \end{split}$$

Bayesian Statistical Analysis

- $\theta_u = [\alpha_1, \alpha_{11}, \dots, \alpha_{123}], \theta_\sigma = [q_{11}, q_{12}, q_{44}, \sigma_{11}^R, \sigma_{22}^R, \sigma_{33}^R, \sigma_{23}^R]$
- Statistical Model: $M^{data}(i) = M(i; \theta) + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, ..., N$
- Bayes' Relation

$$\pi(\theta | M^{data}) = \frac{p(M|\theta)\pi_0(\theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_p} p(M|\theta)\pi_0(\theta)d\theta}$$

- Posterior Density: $\pi(\theta | M^{data})$
- Prior Density: $\pi_0(\theta)$
- Likelihood Function: $p(M|\theta) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} [M^{data}(i) M(i;\theta)]^2/(2\sigma^2)}$
 - Assume observation errors are independent and identically distributed (iid): $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Energy Calibration:

• Posterior densities: $\pi(\theta_u | M^{data})$





Stress Calibration



March 10, 2018

Uncertainty Propagation: Energy



March 10, 2018

SIAM-SEAS 2018

and (Right) Line 4.

Uncertainty Propagation: Stress





Uncertainty propagation through shear stress model along (Left) all thermodynamic paths, (Center) Line 2, and (Right) Line 5.

Conclusions

- Parameter estimation
- Uncertainty propagation
- Future research:
 - Domain wall structures

Acknowledgements

Support:

- NSF Grant CMMI-1306290 Collaborative Research CDS&E
- NSF Grant CMMI-1306320 Collaborative Research CDS&E



